

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2, 4, 14, 15 and 18 are pending. The Examiner's reconsideration of the rejections is respectfully requested in view of the remarks.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 14, 15 and 18 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Iwamoto (USPN 6,292,040) in view of McCune (USPN 5,306,971) and further in view of Kunanayagam et al. (USPN 6,850,106). The Examiner stated essentially that the combined teachings of Iwamoto, McCune and Kunanayagam teach or suggest all the limitations of Claims 1, 2, 4, 14, 15 and 18.

Claim 1 claims, *inter alia*, "a delay line, comprising a plurality of delay cells having various unit time delays, for receiving the external clock signal, controlling the phase of the external clock signal to obtain an output clock signal and outputting the output clock signal, wherein the number of delay cells in operation is adjusted in response to a shift signal, wherein each delay cell is a differential amplifier having a resistor connected to a power supply voltage, wherein the resistor of each delay cell has a different resistance, to vary the unit time delay."

Claim 14 claims, *inter alia*, "a delay unit, comprising a plurality of delay cells having various unit time delays, for receiving the external clock signal and generating the output clock signal in synchronization with the external clock signal, wherein each delay cell is a differential amplifier having a resistor connected to a power supply voltage, wherein the resistor of each delay cell has a different resistance, to vary the unit time delay."

Iwamoto teaches a delay line having delay units controlled by control signals for determining a delay (see col. 2, lines 1-5). Iwamoto does not teach or suggest a delay unit "comprising a plurality of delay cells having various unit time delays..." "wherein each delay

cell is a differential amplifier having a resistor connected to a power supply voltage, wherein the resistor of each delay cell has a different resistance” essentially as claimed in Claims 1 and 14. The delay lines of Iwamoto include delay units Ux comprising inverter circuits (see FIG. 18), each delay unit corresponding to a unit for delay adjustment. Iwamoto’s unit for delay is not varied. Thus, Iwamoto does not teach or suggest a delay unit including a plurality of delay cells having various unit time delays, much less resistors of a plurality of delay cells having different resistances, essentially as claimed in claims 1 and 14. Therefore, Iwamoto fails to teach all the limitations of Claims 1 and 14.

McCune teaches a delay line comprising gates arranged from a least delay to a greatest delay (see col. 3, lines 6-11). McCune does not teach or suggest a delay unit “comprising a plurality of delay cells having various unit time delays...” “wherein each delay cell is a differential amplifier having a resistor connected to a power supply voltage, wherein the resistor of each delay cell has a different resistance” essentially as claimed in Claims 1 and 14. McCune teaches that the time delay of a gate is proportional to the capacitive loads applied to the bases of transistors Q1 and Q2 by transistors Q15 and Q 16 (see col. 5, lines 56-58). The use of capacitive loads for setting a time delay is not analogous to difference resistances, essentially as claimed in Claims 1 and 14; for example, the capacitive loads are achieved using transistors. Thus, McCune fails to teach or suggest that resistors of each gate have different resistances to give various unit time delays, essentially as claimed in Claims 1 and 14.

Further, referring Claim 1 of the present invention, the resistor of each delay cell has a different resistance. For example, referring Fig.6, the resistance of resistor R1 in the first delay cell is different from the resistance of resistor R2 in the second delay cell. But, McCune fails to disclose that the resistor of each delay cell has a different resistance. For example,

McCune does not disclose that the resistors R1~R4 of gate G1 have different resistance from the resistors R1~R4 of gate G2.

For at least the foregoing reasons, McCune fails to cure the deficiencies of Iwamoto.

Kunanayagam teaches a differential amplifier with resistive loads to implement a single delay cell (see FIG. 2). Kunanayagam does not teach or suggest a delay unit “comprising a plurality of delay cells having various unit time delays...” “wherein each delay cell is a differential amplifier having a resistor connected to a power supply voltage, wherein the resistor of each delay cell has a different resistance” essentially as claimed in Claims 1 and 14. Nowhere does Kunanayagam teach or suggest different differential amplifiers of a delay line having resistors of different resistances, essentially as claimed in Claims 1 and 14. Therefore, Kunanayagam fails to cure the deficiencies of Iwamoto and McCune.

The combined teachings of Iwamoto, McCune and Kunanayagam teach a delay line comprising gates arranged from a least delay to a greatest delay, the delays determined by capacitive load of transistors. The combined teachings of Iwamoto, McCune and Kunanayagam fail to teach or suggest a delay unit “comprising a plurality of delay cells having various unit time delays...” “wherein each delay cell is a differential amplifier having a resistor connected to a power supply voltage, wherein the resistor of each delay cell has a different resistance” essentially as claimed in Claims 1 and 14 (Emphasis added). Therefore, Claims 1 and 14 are believed to be allowable over the combined teachings of Iwamoto, McCune and Kunanayagam.

Claims 2-4 and 15 depend from claims 1 and 14, respectively. The dependent claims are believed to be allowable for at least the reasons given for Claims 1 and 14.

For the foregoing reasons, the application, including Claims 1, 2, 4, 14, 15 and 18, is

believed to be in condition for allowance. Early and favorable reconsideration of the case is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated; March 19, 2007

By:



Nathaniel T. Wallace

Reg. No. 48,909

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Mailing Address:

F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC

130 Woodbury Road

Woodbury, New York 11797

TEL: (516) 692-8888

FAX: (516) 692-8889